

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,791	08/11/2005	Sabine Koehler	3183	1965
Striker Striker	7590 03/23/200 & Stenby	EXAM	IINER	
103 East Neck	Road	VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A		
Huntington, N	Y 11743		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/521,791	KOEHLER ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	1619				

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementar of time may be available under the processor of 37 (21 ft. 136(a), in no event, however, may a riply be timely filed after SIK (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  If NO period for riply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Failure to reply within the set of re-antiend period for reply with by statute or cameled appried for reply with by statute or become ARMOND-EXE (35 U.S.C. § 133).
	Any reply, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
St	atus
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 January 2005.
	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Di	sposition of Claims
	4) Claim(s) 24-47 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
	8) Claim(s) 24-47 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
۸,	pplication Papers
	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Pı	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
٩t	tachment(s)
1	Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)

1)	ш	Notic	ce

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/521,791 Page 2

Art Unit: 1619

## DETAILED ACTION

Amendment dated 1/20/05 canceled 1-23 and added claims 24-47.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I claim(s) 24-36 are, drawn to a cosmetic agent for improving the condition of hair and a permanent wave pretreatment agent comprising the cosmetic agent.

Group II, claim(s) 37-44 are, drawn to a method of improving the condition of hair.

Group III, claim(s) 45-47 are, drawn to an agent for dyeing and simultaneously improving the condition of hair

As set forth in Rule 13.1 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT), "the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions." Moreover, as stated in Rule 13.2 PCT, Unity of Invention is satisfied "where a group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement of unity of invention is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement of unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art so linked as to form a single general inventive concept." The instant cosmetic agent claim 24 does not present a contribution over the prior art. Claim 24 lacks novelty step. Claim 24 is anticipated by WO 89/04163. See page 6 for silicone compounds. Formula 1 anticipates the species of WO document. See formula 1V claimed in the instant application. As a result, as currently presented, the instant cosmetic agent claims 24-36 does not share a special technical feature with the instant method of use claims 37-44 or agent for dycing and simultaneously conditioning the hair claims 45-47 and, as such, unity between the above Groups 1-III is broken.

Art Unit: 1619

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species under silane:

Formula IV

Formula IV

Formula IV

Formula IV

Formula IV

Formula IV

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to <u>elect a single species</u> to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following

manner: 28-34

Application/Control Number: 10/521,791

Art Unit: 1619

The following claim(s) are generic: 24-27 and 35-47

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: WO 89/04163 anticipates the species of formula IV and do not relate to single general inventive concept under PCT rule 13.1. As a result, as currently presented, the species IV belonging to silane do not share a special technical feature with the remaining species either V, or VI or VII or VII or (IX or IX') and, as such, unity between the above species is broken.

Due to complexity of the action, examiner submitted Election Requirement in writing in lieu of calling applicants' attorney.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper

Application/Control Number: 10/521,791

Art Unit: 1619

restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT whose telephone number is 571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30-7:30:1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL WOODWARD can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,791 Page 6

Art Unit: 1619

/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619